1	ESMERALDA ZENDEJAS, #258809		
2	BLANCA A. BAÑUELOS, # 231585 MICHAEL L. MEUTER, # 161554	72010 JUL 30 PM 3: 04	
3	CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTAN 20 N. Sutter Street, Suite 203	CLIDA OF THE SEVERIOR OFFICE OF THE SEVERIOR OFFICE OF THE SEVERIOR OF THE SEV	
4	Stockton, CA 95202 Telephone: (209) 946-0609	V specialização resimilação resimilações por mais de securio de se	
5	Facsimile: (209) 946-5730	DIANA GONCHAR TO W	
6	ADAM BLAIR CORREN, # 183067 LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN		
7	5435 N. El Dorado #7 Stockton, CA 95207		
8	Telephone: (209) 478-2621 Facsimile: (209) 478-3038		
. 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS		
12	LUIS MASEDO, individually, and	CASE NO.: 638260	
13	acting in the interest of other current and former employees,	[PROPOSED] THIRD AMENDED	
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
15	v.		
16	JOE SALLABERRY FARMS, a		
17	California business organization form unknown; JOE SALLABERRY,		
18	individually and doing business as JOE SALLABERRY FARMS; and DOES		
19	ONE through TWENTY, inclusive		
20	Defendants.		
21	INTRODUCTION		
22	1. Plaintiff is a dairy worker, formerly employed by Defendants to push, milk, and care		
23	for cows. Plaintiff alleges that he was wrongfully terminated.		
24	2. In addition, Plaintiff seeks damages, restitution, and other appropriate relief for		
25	himself individually pursuant to California's Unfair Competition Law (the "UCL") (Business and		
26	Professions Code § 17200, et seq.), for each Defendant's failure to pay overtime wages, authorize		
27	and permit rest and meal periods, maintain proper payroll records and provide itemized wage		
28	statements, provide required work tools and	equipment, pay all wages due, and accrued interest and	

28 ///

enforcement of penalties pursuant to California law.

3. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief to require that each Defendant comply with all applicable California labor laws in the future and to prevent each Defendant from engaging in and continuing to engage in unlawful and unfair business practices.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO is an individual who currently resides in Stanislaus County and, at all times material to this action, resided in Stanislaus County. Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO was employed by Defendants in Stanislaus County from approximately July 2007 through March 14, 2008.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant JOE SALLABERRY FARMS, is a business entity in Stanislaus County producing milk at its principal location at 5642 S. Morgan Road, Turlock, Stanislaus County, California. Plaintiff further alleges that said Defendant is responsible for the occurrences herein alleged and that the resulting damages were proximately caused by said Defendant's conduct.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant JOE SALLABERRY, an individual, owns and operates a business entity in Stanislaus County producing milk at its principal place of business located at 5642 S. Morgan Road, Turlock, Stanislaus County, California. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant JOE SALLABERRY, an individual, is the owner or major interest holder of a business entity in Stanislaus County producing its milk at its principal place of business located at 5642 S. Morgan Road, Turlock, Stanislaus County. Plaintiff further alleges that said Defendant is responsible for the occurrences herein alleged and that the resulting damages were proximately caused by said Defendant's conduct.
- 7. Each Defendant has directly or indirectly or through an agent or other person exercised control over the wages, hours or working conditions of Plaintiff and other current and former employees.
- 8. Each Defendant directly or indirectly or through an agent or other person engaged, suffered or permitted to work Plaintiff and other current and former employees.

- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times material to this action, each Defendant has been and is doing business in Stanislaus County and has been and is employing people to perform agricultural labor in this county.
- 10. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names or capacities of the Defendants sued herein under the fictitious names of DOES ONE through TWENTY, inclusive, and therefore sues said Defendants under such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege the true names or capacities of said Defendants once they have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants are responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and that the damages herein alleged were actually and proximately caused by their conduct.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times mentioned herein, each Defendant was acting as the agent of every other Defendant, and all acts alleged to have been committed by any Defendants were committed on behalf of every other Defendant; and, at all times mentioned herein, each alleged act was committed by each Defendant, and/or agent, servant, or employee of each Defendant, and each Defendant directed, authorized or ratified each such act. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each Defendant, and each of them, was the agent, employee, coconspirator, business affiliate, subsidiary, parent entity, owner and/or joint venturer of each other Defendant, and each of them; and, in doing the things alleged herein, was acting at least in part within the course and scope of such agency, employment, conspiracy, joint employer, alter ego status, and/or joint venture and with the permission and consent of each of the other Defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. Each Defendant employed Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO as a milker from approximately July 2007 until March 14, 2008 to work at Defendants' dairy or work site in Stanislaus County, including the real property located at 5642 S. Morgan Road, Turlock, Stanislaus County, California.
- 13. On or about March 13, 2008, Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO was injured while working for Defendants. While Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO was unconscious on the floor as a result of being kicked by a cow, his co-worker, Adrian (last name unknown), called Defendant JOE

16

14

22

28

4 5 14. 6 7 8

Once Plaintiff regained consciousness, Plaintiff asked Defendant JOE SALLABERRY. SALLABERRY to be sent to a doctor. Defendant JOE SALLABERRY told Plaintiff that Plaintiff would be fine the next day and did not send Plaintiff to a doctor. However, due to the injury, Plaintiff could not complete his shift, and had his spouse drive him to the hospital that same day, where Plaintiff was diagnosed with a fractured rib.

- On or about March 14, 2008, after receiving medical attention, Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO returned to the work site to ask for an accident report and to get insurance information from Defendant JOE SALLABERRY to cover his medical costs. Defendant JOE SALLABERRY fired Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO at that moment.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that in 2008 and in the four years preceding the filing of this complaint, each Defendant has employed other people as milkers and/or as outside workers, under the same or similar circumstances as Plaintiff, to work in the same dairy or work sites that the Plaintiff worked, including the real property located at 5642 S. Morgan Road, Turlock, Stanislaus County, California.
- 16. Throughout the Plaintiff's tenure with JOE SALLABERRY FARMS between approximately July 2007 until March 14, 2008, each Defendant required that the Plaintiff usually work two days in a row. Each workday consisted of two shifts each day with each shift lasting approximately between five and one half to seven hours, with no meal periods or rest breaks during each shift, resulting in a total of approximately between 11 to 13 hours of work a day. After working two days in a row, Plaintiff would usually have one day off from work. Plaintiff would then continue his work schedule of working two days in a row and having one day off.
- During Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO'S employment with Defendants, Defendants paid 17. Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO a monthly salary of approximately \$1,816.00. The fixed monthly salary did not compensate Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO for California overtime wages due to Plaintiff LUIS MASEDO for all work performed.
- 18. On information and belief, each Defendant required that other employees work similar work weeks as Plaintiff. On information and belief, each Defendant required that other employees work, on average, two days in a row and then one day off, with two daily shifts lasting

approximately five and a half to seven hours each, with no meal periods or rest breaks during each shift, resulting in a total of approximately 11 to 13 hours of work a day. On information and belief, Defendants paid other workers a monthly salary that did not compensate them for California minimum overtime wages due for all work performed.

- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all times material to this action, each Defendant has continuously failed to pay its dairy workers California overtime wages and failed to allow workers to take required meal and rest periods.
- 20. Each Defendant failed to provide Plaintiff with records of the hours that he worked or the hourly rate of pay, of which are required by California and federal law.
- 21. On information and belief, each Defendant failed to provide other similarly-employed persons with records of the hours they worked or with their hourly rate of their pay.
- 22. At all times relevant to this action, each Defendant required that Plaintiff, and, on information and belief, other similarly-employed people, spend their own money and time to acquire and maintain tools and equipment necessary to the performance of their job, including, but not limited to, work boots, gloves and clothing. Defendants have not reimbursed Plaintiff and/or on information and belief, other workers, for these expenditures.
- 23. Plaintiff and, on information and belief, other similarly-employed individuals at Defendants' Dairy, earn and have earned less than two times the minimum wage at all times relevant to this Complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 25. Cal. Lab. Code § 132a states that "there should not be discrimination against workers who are injured in the course and scope of their employment" Cal. Lab. Code § 6310(a)(1) further states that an employee cannot be discharged or discriminated against because the employee "made any oral or written complaint to... his or her employer." Plaintiff was injured while he was working

///

///

for Defendants. Plaintiff complained to Defendants that he was injured on the job and that he was entitled to see a doctor. Plaintiff was immediately terminated upon his return from a doctor's visit. Plaintiff was wrongfully terminated because of the workplace injury he suffered.

- 26. It is the public policy of the State of California that employers shall not retaliate against employees who exercise any right afforded him or her by law. This public policy is essential, significant, and well-established in Cal. Lab. Code § 132a and is designed to benefit employees and the public at large.
- 27. In addition, it is the public policy of the State of California that employers shall not discharge or discriminate against employees who make a complaint to their employer regarding health and safety issues. This public policy is essential, significant, and well-established in Cal. Lab. Code § 6310(a)(1) and is designed to benefit employees and the public at large.
- 28. Defendants violated the public policies of the State of California by terminating Plaintiff's employment in retaliation for exercising rights to make himself, his co-workers, and the public safer.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, Plaintiff has been deprived of wages and other benefits in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 30. Defendants committed the alleged acts with malice and with the wrongful intent to injure Plaintiff. Because the acts taken towards Plaintiff were carried out by Defendants' managerial employee acting in deliberate, callous and intentional manner with a desire to injure and damage to Plaintiff, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages.
- 31. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, anxiety, embarrassment, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 32. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.

28 ///

33. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Wrongful Termination; Cal. Lab. Code § 6310(a)(1) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants)

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein and further alleges that:
- 35. Cal. Lab. Code § 6310(a)(1) states that an employee cannot be discharged or discriminated against because the employee "made any oral or written complaint to... his or her employer." Plaintiff was injured while he was working for Defendants. Plaintiff complained to Defendants that he was injured on the job and that he was entitled to see a doctor. Plaintiff was immediately terminated upon his return from a doctor's visit. Plaintiff was wrongfully terminated because of the workplace injury he suffered.
- 36. Plaintiff was terminated in violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 6310(a)(1) for complaining to his employer about a health and safety issue after having been injured on the job.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and/or omissions of Defendants, Plaintiff has been deprived of wages and other benefits in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 38. Defendants committed the alleged acts with malice and with the wrongful intent to injure Plaintiff. Because the acts taken towards Plaintiff were carried out by Defendants' managerial employee acting in deliberate, callous and intentional manner with a desire to injure and damage to Plaintiff, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages.
- 39. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, anxiety, embarrassment, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 40. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.

41. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Physical Disability Discrimination) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further allege that:
- 43. At all times herein mentioned, California Government Code sections 12940 et seq. were in full force and effect and were binding on the Defendant. These sections required the Defendant to refrain from discriminating against Plaintiff on the basis of a physical disability or perceived physical disability, among other things. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, in full compliance with these sections, and received a right-to-sue letter (herein attached as Exhibit "1").
- 44. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff's physical disability or perceived physical disability was a factor in Defendant's decision to discriminate against and not employ Plaintiff. Such discrimination is in violation of California Government Code sections 12940 et seq. and has resulted in damage and injury to Plaintiff as alleged herein.
- 45. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses in earnings, bonuses, and other employment benefits, including but not limited to expenses incurred in obtaining substitute employment, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 46. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff was compelled to and did employ health providers to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff. Plaintiff has incurred liability therefore in an amount according to proof. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on such information and belief alleges that Plaintiff will necessarily by reason of said Defendant's conduct, require additional health provider services and incur additional liability for health provider services in an amount according to proof.

///

///

- 47. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, anxiety, embarrassment, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 48. The Defendant committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with the Defendant's wealth.
- 49. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.
- 50. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Government Code §12940(m)) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further allege that:
- 52. At all times herein mentioned, California Government Code §12940(m) was in full force and effect and was binding on the Defendant. This section required the Defendant to make reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's known physical disability and/or perceived physical disability so long as such accommodation would not produce undue hardship for the Defendant or to its operation. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, in full compliance with these sections, and received a right-to-sue letter (herein attached as Exhibit "1").
- 53. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that Defendant failed to accommodate Plaintiff's known physical disability and/or perceived physical disability even though such accommodation would not produce undue hardship for the Defendant or to its operation. Such action, or failure to act, is in

///

///

///

violation of California Government Code §§12940(m) and has resulted in damage and injury to Plaintiff as alleged herein.

- 54. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses in earnings, bonuses, and other employment benefits, including but not limited to expenses incurred in obtaining substitute employment, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 55. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff was compelled to and did employ health providers to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff. Plaintiff has incurred liability therefore in an amount according to proof. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on such information and belief alleges that Plaintiff will necessarily by reason of said Defendant's conduct, require additional health provider services and incur additional liability for health provider services in an amount according to proof.
- 56. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, anxiety, embarrassment, anger, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 57. The Defendant committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with the Defendant's wealth.
- 58. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.
- 59. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Government Code §12940(n)) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 60. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further allege that:
- 61. At all times herein mentioned, California Government Code §12940(n) was in full force and effect and was binding on the Defendant. This section required the Defendant to engage in a timely, good faith, interactive process with the Plaintiff to determine effective, reasonable accommodations, if any, in response to a request for reasonable accommodation by the Plaintiff for Plaintiff's known physical disability and/or perceived physical disability. Within the time provided by law, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing, in full compliance with these sections, and received a right-to-sue letter (herein attached as Exhibit "1").
- 62. Plaintiff believes and thereon alleges that Defendant failed to engage in a timely, good faith, interactive process with the Plaintiff to determine effective, reasonable accommodations, if any, in response to a request for reasonable accommodation by the Plaintiff for Plaintiff's known physical disability and/or perceived physical disability. Such action, or failure to act, is in violation of California Government Code §§129409(n) and has resulted in damage and injury to Plaintiff as alleged herein.
- 63. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses in earnings, bonuses, and other employment benefits, including but not limited to expenses incurred in obtaining substitute employment, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.
- 64. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff was compelled to and did employ health providers to examine, treat and care for Plaintiff. Plaintiff has incurred liability therefore in an amount according to proof. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on such information and belief alleges that Plaintiff will necessarily by reason of said Defendant's conduct, require additional health provider services and incur additional liability for health provider services in an amount according to proof.
- 65. As a direct, foreseeable, and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, anxiety, embarrassment, anger, loss of enjoyment of life,

emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount according to proof.

- 66. The Defendant committed the acts alleged herein maliciously, fraudulently, and oppressively, and with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff, and acted with an improper and evil motive amounting to malice. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount commensurate with the Defendant's wealth.
- 67. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.
- 68. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Pay Overtime – Cal. Lab. Code § 1194 and 1198; Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 8, § 11140; IWC Wage Order 14(3)) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 69. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 70. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff worked as a dairy worker in Defendants' dairy in Stanislaus County more than ten (10) hours in a workday, and/or more than sixty (60) hours in a workweek.
- 71. At all times relevant to this action, each Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff premium overtime wages for all hours worked in excess of the ten (10) hours in a workday and/or sixty (60) hours in a workweek, in violation of Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order 14, 8 California Code of Regulations § 11140 and California Labor Code § 1194.
- 72. As a result of each Defendant's failure to provide Plaintiff with overtime pay in accordance with California law, Plaintiff was deprived of wages due to him in amounts to be proven at time of trial.
- 73. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.

74. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Failure to Provide Rest Breaks – Violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 226.7; Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 8, § 11140;

IWC Wage Order 14 (12))
Plaintiff individually Against All Defendan

Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 75. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 76. Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order 14, 8 California Code of Regulations § 11140, applies to employees working in Defendants' dairy in Stanislaus County, which provides that employers shall authorize and permit all employees to take required rest periods.
- 77. California law, including without limitation Labor Code § 226.7, requires that each Defendant provide Plaintiff all rest periods specified in the applicable wage order. Plaintiff was neither provided nor authorized and permitted to take these rest periods and is entitled to be paid one additional hour of pay per day at his regular rate of compensation as additional wages for the denied rest periods.
- 78. At all relevant times while Plaintiff was employed as dairy workers at Defendants' dairy, each Defendant failed and refused to provide the required paid rest periods to Plaintiff, and/or each Defendant failed to properly execute the rest period requirements of the law and suffered or permitted Plaintiff to continue working through his rest periods in violation of the law.
- 79. Under California law, Plaintiff is entitled to be paid one additional hour of pay per day at his regular rate of compensation as additional wages for each denied rest period.
- 80. As a direct and proximate result of each Defendant's actions as alleged herein, Plaintiff suffered losses in amounts to be determined at trial.
- 81. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.

27 ///

28 | ///

82. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Failure to Provide Meal Periods –
Violation of Cal. Lab. Code § 226.7;
Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 8, § 11140;
IWC Wage Order 14(11))
Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 83. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 84. Each Defendant violated Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order 14, 8 California Code of Regulations § 11140, which provides that: "Every employer shall authorize and permit all employees after a work period of not more than five (5) hours to take a meal period of not less than thirty minutes, except that when a work period of not more than six (6) hours will complete the day's work the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of employer and employee."
- 85. California law, including without limitation Labor Code § 226.7, requires that each Defendant provide Plaintiff with meal periods and authorizes that such meal periods can be mandated in the applicable wage order.
- 86. At all relevant times while Plaintiff was employed as a dairy worker, each Defendant failed and refused to provide meal periods to Plaintiff.
- 87. Under California law, Plaintiff is entitled to be paid one additional hour of pay per day at their regular rate of compensation as additional wages for each denied meal period.
- 88. As a direct and proximate result of each Defendant's actions as alleged herein, Plaintiff suffered losses in amounts to be determined at trial.
- 89. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.
- 90. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

28 ///

///

///

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Maintain Time Records, Provide Itemized Statements— Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226 and 1174; Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 8, § 11140; TWC Wage Order 14(7)) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 91. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 92. Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order 14, 8 California Code of Regulations § 11140 and Labor Code §§ 1174 and 226 require that each Defendant keep written daily records of each of its employee's hours of work and meal breaks and to maintain such records for at least three years; and to provide each employee with each periodic wage payment a writing setting forth, among other things, the dates of labor for which payment of wages is made, the total hours of work for which payment of wages is made, the gross and net wages paid, all deductions from those wages, and the name and address of the employer.
- 93. Plaintiff is informed and believes and therefore alleges that, during Plaintiff's employment, each Defendant knowingly and intentionally failed to keep and maintain written records of the daily hours Plaintiff worked and the meal breaks Plaintiff took as required by California law.
- 94. During Plaintiffs' employment, each Defendant knowingly and intentionally failed to provide Plaintiff with itemized wage statements of each periodic wage payment as required by California law.
- 95. As a direct and proximate result of each Defendant's actions as alleged herein, Plaintiff was injured and suffered losses in amounts to be determined at trial.
- 96. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.
- 97. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

3 98.

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Provide Tools and Equipment — Violation of Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 8, § 11140; IWC Wage Order 14(9)) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 98. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 99. At all times relevant to this action, each Defendant was required to provide and maintain tools and equipment necessary to the performance of the job for Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, work boots, gloves and clothing, pursuant to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order 14, 8 California Code of Regulations § 11140.
- 100. At all times relevant to this action, each Defendant failed to provide and maintain tools and equipment, such as work boots, clothing and gloves, for Plaintiff pursuant to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order 14, 8 California Code of Regulations § 11140.
- 101. As a direct result of Defendants' failure to comply with the above-referenced section of Wage Order 14, 8 California Code of Regulations § 11140, Plaintiff expended funds to pay for necessary protective clothing and equipment that were, in effect, an offset against wages due to him and was injured and is entitled to recover those expenditures in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 102. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.
- 103. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Failure to Pay all Wages Due Upon Discharge – Violation of Cal. Lab. Code §§ 201 and 202) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

104. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 as if fully set forth herein, and further allege that:

///

///

- 105. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, terminated employees are entitled to be paid all wages due upon termination in the event of a discharge or voluntary termination with requisite notice, or within 72 hours of termination in the event of a voluntary termination without notice.
- 106. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was discharged from his employment with each Defendant within the meaning of California Labor Code §§ 201 or 202.
- 107. At all times relevant to this action, each Defendant has willfully failed to pay Plaintiff premium overtime wages and other wages due. Accordingly, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, payment of these wages were due to Plaintiff on the date of termination.
- 108. By willfully failing to pay wages due in accordance with California Labor Code § 201 or 202, as applicable, all Defendants are liable for penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203.
- 109. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 203, Plaintiff is entitled to waiting time penalties of up to 30 days wages, for each occurrence, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 110. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in an amount according to proof.
- 111. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unlawful Competition in Violation of Bus. & Profs. Code §§ 17200, et seq.) Plaintiff, individually, Against All Defendants

- 112. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 111 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 113. Plaintiff sues for his own interest pursuant to Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.
- 114. Plaintiff was not paid wages owed for all hours worked, including, but not limited to, the hours he worked beyond ten (10) hours in a workday, and/or more than sixty (60) hours in a workweek in violation of IWC Order No. 14, 8 Cal. Code of Regs. §11140 and Cal. Labor Code § 1194; he was not compensated for mandatory meal and rest periods in violation of with IWC Order No. 14, §§ (11) and 12,

- 8. Cal. Code of Regulations §§11140; and he was not paid all wages due upon his discharge in violation of Labor Code §§201 or 202. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and/or omissions of the Defendants the Plaintiff has been deprived of wages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 115. The Defendants, by the acts and/or omissions alleged herein have committed and are committing unlawful and unfair competition.
- 116. The Defendants, by the acts and/or omissions alleged herein have injured and are injuring the interests of the general public in that other employers who have been or currently employing workers and attempting to do so in honest compliance with applicable wage and hour laws (including the laws violated by the Defendants) are at an unfair competitive disadvantage as a result of the Defendants' conduct.
- 117. The Plaintiff is entitled to restitution of his wages and the economic value of benefits unlawfully denied him by the Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial. In addition, the Plaintiff is entitled to and Plaintiff seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining the Defendants from failing to pay wages for all hours worked, provide mandatory rest and meal periods, and pay all wages due upon discharge as required by law.
- 118. Plaintiff is entitled to recover interest, including prejudgment interest, at the legal rate in amount according to proof.
- 119. Plaintiff has incurred, continues to incur, and is entitled to recover legal expenses and attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act-

Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2698 et seq.)
Plaintiff, individually and for the interest of other current and former employees, Against All Defendants

- 120. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraph 1 through 140 as if fully set forth herein, and further alleges that:
- 121. By this cause of action, Plaintiff is seeking to enforce important rights affecting the public interest.

- 122. Plaintiff submits this First Amended Complaint to include allegations to Labor Code §§ 2698 *et. seq.* (The Private Attorney General Act). Labor Code § 2699.3(a)(2)(C) provides that notwithstanding any other provision of law, plaintiffs may as a matter of right amend an existing complaint to add a cause of action arising under §§ 2698 *et seq.*
- 123. Plaintiff is an aggrieved employee as defined by Labor Code § 2699(a). Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of himself and other current and other former aggrieved employees affected by the labor law violations alleged in this complaint. Each Defendant committed the following violation of the California Labor code against Plaintiff and, on information and belief, against other current or former employees while they were employed by each Defendant:
 - a. Each Defendant violated Labor Code §§ 205, 221, 1194, 558, and 1198 and 8 Cal. Code of Regulations § 11140 by failing to pay Plaintiff and, on information and belief, other current and former employees of each Defendant, all wages due for all hours worked.
 - b. Each Defendant violated Labor Code § 226 by failing to provide Plaintiff and, on information and belief, other current and former employees of each Defendant with an accurate itemized statement in writing providing required information regarding (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee,... (4) all deductions, provided that all deductions made on written orders of the employee may be aggregated and shown as one item, (5) net wages earned, (6) the inclusive dates of the period for which the employee is paid, (7) the name of the employee and his or her social security number, (8) the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, and (9) all applicable hourly rates in effect during the pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by the employee with each periodic payment of wages made by each Defendant to Plaintiff and, on information and belief, other current and former employees of each Defendant.
 - c. Each Defendant violated Labor Code § 1174 by failing to maintain payroll records showing the hours worked daily by and the wages paid to Plaintiff and, on information and belief, other current and former employees of each Defendant.
 - d. Each Defendant violated Labor Code §§ 201 and 202 by failing to pay Plaintiffs and, on information and belief, other current and former employees of each Defendant all wages due on the date of the employee's involuntary termination or within 72 hours of receipt of notice of employee's voluntary termination.
 - e. Each Defendant violated Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 558 by failing to provide to Plaintiff and, on information and belief, other current and former employees of each Defendant all rest periods and meal periods in accordance with IWC Wage Order 14.
 - f. Each Defendant violated provisions of IWC Wage Order 14 by failing to pay overtime for all hours worked; failing to authorize and permit meal and rest

22 23

periods specified by the Wage Order; failing to provide and maintain necessary tools and equipment; failing to keep accurate information with respect to hours worked, including the beginning and ending of each work period, meal periods, and split shift periods, total wages paid, total hours worked and applicable rates of pay.

124. Labor Code § 2699(f) provides:

For all provisions of this code except those for which a civil penalty is specifically provided, there is established a civil penalty for a violation of those provisions, as follows:...(2) If, at the time of the alleged violation, the person employees one or more employees, the civil penalty is one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period fo the initial violation and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation.

Plaintiff seeks civil penalties as provided under applicable Labor Code sections for violations of the Labor Code alleged herein pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(a). To the extent that any violation alleged herein does not carry a penalty, Plaintiff seeks civil penalties pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(f) for Plaintiff and other current and former employees for violations of those sections.

- Plaintiff seeks penalties on behalf of himself, other aggrieved employees, and the State, as provided by Labor Code § 2699(I), including but not limited to penalties due pursuant to Labor Code § 558(a) as a result of Defendants' violations of the provisions of Wage Order 14 and failure to pay all wages due.
- 126. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2699.3(a) prior to the filing of this complaint, Plaintiff gave written notice by certified mail to each Defendant and the Labor and Work Force Development Agency (LWDA) of the factual and legal basis for the labor law violations alleged in this complaint. LWDA has 30 calendar days to notify the Plaintiff that it does not intend to investigate the alleged violations and 33 calendar days to notify the Plaintiff that it does intend to investigate the alleged violations. The 33 calendar days have expired and LWDA has not provided Plaintiff with notice that it intends to investigate the allegations, as such Plaintiff amends this complaint in accordance with the provisions of Labor Code § 2699.3(a)(2)(C).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully prays for judgment against Defendants and each of them as follows:

///

As to the First Cause of Action:

1

2

3

4

7

8

11

17

18

- 1. An order of reinstatement and an award of reimbursement for lost wages and benefits, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 2. General Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 5 | 3. Punitive Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

6 As to the Second Cause of Action:

- 1. An order of reinstatement and an award for lost wages and work benefits; in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 9 2. General Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 10 3. Punitive Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

As to the Third Cause of Action:

- 12 | 1. An order of reinstatement and an award of reimbursement for lost wages and benefits, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 14 2. General Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 15 3. Punitive Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

16 As to the Fourth Cause of Action:

- 1. An order of reinstatement and an award of reimbursement for lost wages and benefits, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 19 2. General Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 20 | 3. Punitive Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

21 | As to the Fifth Cause of Action:

- 22 1. An order of reinstatement and an award of reimbursement for lost wages and benefits, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 24 | 2. General Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 25 | 3. Punitive Damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

26 As to the Sixth Cause of Action:

27 \ \ \ 1. For an award of all unpaid overtime wages in an amount to be proved at trial.

28 | ///

As to the Seventh Cause of Action:

For an award, of an amount equal to one hour of additional wages at the applicable hourly rate
of pay for each workday that the rest period was not provided.

As to the Eighth Cause of Action:

1. For an award, of an amount equal to one hour of additional wages at the applicable hourly rate of pay for each workday that the meal period was not provided.

As to the Ninth Cause of Action:

For an award for actual damages for defendants' failure to provide itemized wage statements in
an amount to be proved at trial or statutory amounts, or in the alternative \$50.00 for the first
violation and \$100.00 for each subsequent violation up to a maximum of \$4,000.00, per each
Plaintiff.

As to the Tenth Cause of Action:

1. For an award of the actual cost of the tools and equipment provided and/or maintained for all applicable time periods.

As to the Eleventh Cause of Action:

1. For an award of waiting time penalties in an amount equal to 30 times the daily rate of pay, according to proof at trial.

As to the Twelfth Cause of Action:

- 1. A preliminary and permanent injunction ordering Defendants to cease the unlawful and unfair business practices as heretofore alleged.
- 2. For restitution to Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial.

As to the Thirteenth Cause of Action:

- 1. For all provisions of this code violation as described above except for which a civil penalty is specifically provided, a civil penalty of one hundred dollars (\$100) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and two hundred dollars (\$200) for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation.
- 2. For all provisions of this code violated as described above for which a civil penalty is specifically provided, civil penalties for each aggrieved employee as specifically provided by statute.

1	3. For violations of the provisions of the Wage Orders, civil penalties for each aggrieved employee	
2	as provided by Labor Code § 558, including but not limited to an amount sufficient to recover	
3	unpaid wages due each aggrieved employee.	
4	As to All Causes of Action:	
5	1. For costs of suit herein generally and pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.	
6	2. For an award of pre-judgment interest as authorized under the law.	
7	3. For an award of post-judgment interest as authorized under the law.	
8	4. For an award of attorneys fees as authorized by law for the LAW OFFICES OF CORREN &	
9	CORREN and CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE, INC.	
10	5. For such other and further relief as this court deems just and proper.	
11		
12	Date: July 22, 2010 CALIFORNIA RURAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE INC.	
13		
14	Emaalda Zindigis	
15	Esmeralda Zendejas Attorney for Plaintiff	
16	Date: July 22, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF CORREN & CORREN	
17		
18	Adam Couen	
19	Adam Blair Corren (signature authorized) Attorney for Plaintiff	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		